



aieatingtheworld.com

Checked on October 9, 2026 by AI Eating The World

Sample report. We audited our own site so we could publish the results. A paid audit has the same format, for your site and the competitors you name.

Summary

AI Eating The World is in good shape on the basics. Every major AI crawler is allowed, the server answers them normally, key pages render without JavaScript, and llms.txt and structured data are in place. Bing's AI answers cited the site 870 times in 26 days this summer.

The gaps are in how the content is written for quoting. Guides don't open with a short, direct answer, and comparisons are written as prose instead of tables. A ranking page also loses clicks to a weak title. Those three fixes take about four hours in total.

Area	Result	In one line
AI crawler access	Pass	All 13 crawler tokens allowed; GPTBot, OAI-SearchBot, ClaudeBot and PerplexityBot get a normal 200 response.
llms.txt	Pass	llms.txt (37 KB) and llms-full.txt (66 KB) are live and generated from the CMS.
Content without JavaScript	Pass	Key pages show 500 to 3,000 words in plain HTML.
Structured data	Pass	Article, breadcrumb, author, organization and software schema, with modified dates.
Sitemap and indexing	Pass	197 URLs in the sitemap, listed in robots.txt; no noindex on key pages; canonicals correct.
Quotable answers	Needs work	No short answer at the top of guides; no tables on any key page checked.
Search click-through	Needs work	One page ranks about #8 on Google with a 0.03% click rate.

Top fixes

1. Add a two- or three-sentence direct answer under the title of the 10 highest-traffic guides.
2. Turn comparisons into tables. Start with "Which of these are worth your setup time" in the Codex guide and the Claude Code vs Codex comparison.
3. Rewrite the GLM coding plan page's title and description around plan prices.

Findings

AI crawler access · Pass

robots.txt allows every crawler we test. That includes the ones that collect training data (GPTBot, ClaudeBot, Google-Extended, CCBot) and the ones that fetch pages live to answer a question (OAI-SearchBot, ChatGPT-User, Claude-SearchBot, PerplexityBot).

We also requested the homepage while identifying as each AI crawler. Every request got a normal 200 response, so no firewall or CDN rule is quietly blocking them. That kind of block doesn't show up in robots.txt, so it's easy to miss.

Crawler	robots.txt	Server response
GPTBot (OpenAI training)	Allowed	200
OAI-SearchBot (ChatGPT search)	Allowed	200
ClaudeBot (Anthropic)	Allowed	200
PerplexityBot	Allowed	200
Google-Extended (Gemini training)	Allowed	robots.txt rule only

llms.txt · Pass

Both llms.txt and llms-full.txt are live. They are generated from the CMS, so they stay current as new pages are published.

llms.txt is a proposed convention, and AI companies haven't said clearly how much they rely on it. Treat it as cheap insurance, not a ranking lever.

Content AI engines can read · Pass

Many AI crawlers don't run JavaScript, so a page that builds its text in the browser can look empty to them. We fetched each key page as plain HTML. Every page shows its full text without JavaScript.

Page	Words without JavaScript	Schema	Modified date
Homepage	1,190	Organization, WebSite	No
Claude Code token guide	2,327	BlogPosting, Breadcrumb, Speakable	Yes
Codex usage limits guide	2,981	BlogPosting, Breadcrumb, Speakable	Yes
Hermes Agent setup guide	2,161	BlogPosting, Breadcrumb, Speakable	Yes
Cursor tool page	496	SoftwareApplication, Breadcrumb	Yes

Structured data · Pass

Guides carry BlogPosting schema with the author, breadcrumbs and a modified date. Tool pages carry SoftwareApplication. This helps engines connect each page to the right brand, author and product. All the schema we found parsed without errors.

Quotable answers · Needs work

AI answers lift short passages that answer a question directly. The Codex usage limits guide is thorough, but it opens with how usage is measured. The answer to its title (which of the seven methods actually save tokens) only appears in the ninth of ten sections, written as prose. None of the five key pages we checked contains a table.

Fix: put a two- or three-sentence answer right under each guide's title, and turn comparisons into tables (method, how much it saves, setup time). Keep the long explanation below for readers who want it.

Evidence that AI engines already use the site · Pass

Bing Webmaster Tools reports 870 citations of aieatingtheworld.com in Bing's AI answers between May 30 and June 24, 2026. That's about 33 a day, across up to 17 different pages a day.

Clicks from AI assistants are still small: 32 visits in the 30 days to October 9 (Vercel Analytics). Being cited and being clicked are different things, so track both.

Search click-through · Needs work

Google's AI Overviews and other AI search tools lean on pages that already rank. The GLM coding plan guide ranks around #8 on Google, with 6,655 impressions and only 2 clicks from September 11 to October 9 (0.03%). People are searching for plan prices, and the title doesn't promise them.

How you compare

We ran the same checks on two AI tool directories.

Check	aieatingtheworld.com	futurepedia.io	theresanaiforthat.com
AI crawlers in robots.txt	All allowed	All allowed	Blocks training crawlers (GPTBot, ClaudeBot, Google-Extended, Applebot-Extended, CCBot); allows search crawlers
Server response to AI crawlers	200 for all	200 for all	403 to every scripted request, including a browser-style one, so AI-specific blocking can't be separated from general bot protection
llms.txt	Yes, plus llms-full.txt	No	No
Homepage schema	Organization, WebSite, NewsMediaOrganization	Organization	Not readable (403)

Check	aieatingtheworld.com	futurepedia.io	theresanaiforthat.com
Homepage text without JavaScript	About 1,190 words	About 860 words	Not readable (403)
Sitemap	197 URLs	631 URLs	Not readable (403)

Prioritized fix list

Ordered by impact for the effort. Hand this page to whoever maintains the site.

#	Priority	Fix	Why it matters	Effort
1	High	Add a two- or three-sentence direct answer under the title of the 10 highest-traffic guides.	AI answers quote short passages that answer the question. In the Codex guide, the answer to the title sits in the ninth of ten sections.	About 2 hours
2	High	Turn comparisons into tables. Start with "Which of these are worth your setup time" in the Codex guide and the Claude Code vs Codex comparison.	Tables are easy to lift into an answer, and none of the key pages checked has one.	1 to 2 hours
3	Medium	Rewrite the GLM coding plan page's title and description around plan prices.	It ranks about #8 with 6,655 impressions in 28 days but a 0.03% click rate. AI Overviews draw on the same rankings.	15 minutes
4	Medium	Add a short FAQ section to the top guides, using the exact questions people search (for example, when Codex limits reset).	Question-and-answer pairs match how people ask AI assistants.	About 1 hour per 5 guides
5	Low	Export Bing Webmaster Tools AI performance every month and track citations by page.	The latest export is from June, so there's no way to tell which fixes work.	10 minutes a month
6	Low	Record a deliberate decision on whether to allow training crawlers.	Keeping everything open is the right default for brand visibility. Writing it down stops a future CDN or plugin change from flipping it without anyone noticing.	5 minutes

How we checked

Checks ran on October 9, 2026 from one location. We tested robots.txt rules for 13 crawler tokens, sent live requests identifying as GPTBot, OAI-SearchBot, ClaudeBot and PerplexityBot, checked lms.txt and the sitemap, and read the raw HTML of each key page without running JavaScript.

Citation data comes from Bing Webmaster Tools (AI performance export, May 30 to June 24, 2026). Search data comes from Google Search Console, and visits from Vercel Analytics.

This is a readiness audit, not rank tracking. We don't measure how often ChatGPT, Perplexity or Gemini mention a brand, and we don't promise rankings or traffic.